

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brct 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOX'KET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,253	04/20/2004	Yasuo Suzuki	252164US0DIV	2401
22850 75	590 04/14/2005		EXAMINER	
	VAK, MCCLELLAND	CHAPMAN, MARK A		
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRE	1, 771 22311		1756	
			m	

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			£ %.				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/827,253	SUZUKI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark A. Chapman	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2005</u> .						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	·—						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-8 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4-20-04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/090,745. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/827,253 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 16-18 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 11152004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potter (4,484,949). Potter teaches a coating liquid that contains polycarboxylic acid, titanium oxide, and solvent (claims). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any suitable amounts of carboxylic acid, titanium oxide, and solvent

Application/Control Number: 10/827,253 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

because of the same desired use as a coating liquid and one would expect similar results from amounts as suggested by Potter. The intended use limitation "for an intermediate layer of an electrophotographic photoconductor" is deemed to be capable of performing a function and therefor not a positive limitation. As shown by Potter, the liquid is used as a coating and it would be capable of performing in the claimed manner. The recitation of a new intended use for an old product does not make claim to that old product patentable (In re Schreiber, 44 USPQ2d 1429 (Fed. Cir. 1997)).

Response to Arguments

- 5. Although Applicant's arguments have overcome the rejections drawn to Nogami and Kay, Applicant's arguments filed 2-25-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in regard to the above repeated Potter rejection. Applicant argues that the instant invention could perform without the additional components of Potter. It is the position of the Examiner that these components could be useful as fillers and it would have been obvious to include or not include these in the coating liquid because the effect of additional inorganic compounds would have been known.
- 6. In response to applicant's argument that Potter is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the invention, as claimed, is drawn to a coating liquid. The composition of Potter is clearly used as a coating liquid. The Examiner asserts that coating liquids are as claimed are

Art Unit: 1756

in the same field of endeavor of Potter (see In re Bigio, 381 F.3d 1320, 72 USPQ2d 1209 (8/24/04In response to applicant's argument that Potter is limited to a intermediate layer in a electrophotographic photoconductor, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).)). The body of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure and does not depend on the preamble for completeness. A preamble that recited the use or purpose of the claimed invention does not limit the claims.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1756

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Chapman whose telephone number is 571-272-1381. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

nr A. Or

Mark A. Chapman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

MC